



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,857	11/21/2003	Vanadis M. Crawford	RSW920030203US1	1362
48816 7590 05/14/2008 IBM CORPORATION - RSW (JVL) C/O VAN LEEUWEN & VAN LEEUWEN P.O. BOX 90609 AUSTIN, TX 78709-0609				
EXAMINER				
FLEISCHER, MARK A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3623				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/14/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/718,857

**Applicant(s)**

CRAWFORD ET AL.

**Examiner**

MARK A. FLEISCHER

**Art Unit**

4143

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARK A. FLEISCHER.(3) Scott Schmok.(2) James A. Reagan.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Corral.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion was held with respect to the top-down approach of the Applicant's invention versus the suggested bottom-up approach of the cited prior art. Proposed amendments with respect to application of common metrics to product phases and the relationship of phase goals and the weighted priority of a given common metric was also discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mark A Fleischer/  
Examiner, Art Unit 4143

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required